IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

DAVID DEJESUS, SR.,)	
Plaintiff,)	
v.)	C.A. No. 06-209-JJF
CORRECTIONAL MEDICAL SERVICES, INC., WILLIAM JOYCE and DANA)	TRIAL BY JURY DEMANDED
BAKER,)	
Defendants.)	

PLAINTIFF DAVID DEJESUS, SR.'S EMERGENCY MOTION FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

Plaintiff David DeJesus, Sr. ("Plaintiff") presents his emergency motion for injunctive relief.¹ In support of his emergency motion, Plaintiff states the following:

Introduction

1. Plaintiff is suffering and has suffered from a grape-sized growth on his left testicle since January 2008. In spite of numerous sick calls, a grievance and several letters from his attorney, Defendant Correctional Medical Services, Inc. ("CMS") refuses to evaluate or provide any treatment whatsoever for Plaintiff's condition. Instead, CMS shirked its responsibility to provide medical care to Plaintiff under the mistaken belief that "Plaintiff's complaints of a lump on or near his testicles [] is not an issue in the pending litigation." Plaintiff believes that his condition presents a serious and substantial threat to his health and well-being. Absent immediate, mandatory injunctive relief from this Court, CMS has stated, both in word and action, that it will continue its pattern of failure and neglect. Accordingly, Plaintiff respectfully requests that his emergency motion for injunctive relief be granted and that a

¹ Pursuant to D. Del. LR 7.1.2, Plaintiff reserves his right to file a reply brief in support of his motion.

mandatory injunction issue requiring CMS or an independent medical provider (at CMS's expense) provide medical care and treatment for Plaintiff's condition.

Nature and Stage of Proceedings

- 2. Plaintiff initiated this action *pro se* on March 30, 2006. (D.I. 1). On June 13, 2006, the Court entered an order dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint without prejudice, but permitting Plaintiff leave to amend the Complaint within thirty (30) days. (D.I. 8). On July 14, 2006, Plaintiff (again, acting *pro se*) filed his Amended Complaint. (D.I. 10).
- 3. On September 8, 2006 and October 4, 2006, Plaintiff (still acting *pro se*) filed requests for injunctive relief seeking medical care for a believed liver condition and a request that he not be transferred to the Delaware Correctional Center. (D.I. 19, 26). Plaintiff's motions were ultimately denied by the Court on October 20, 2006. (D.I. 28).²
- 4. On December 12 and December 22, 2006, Plaintiff again filed motions for injunctive relief requesting a liver biopsy and seeking medical attention after being forced to wait three months to see a doctor (D.I. 41) and requesting mandatory injunctive relief that he be taken to a hospital to receive medical care. (D.I. 42). On February 20, 2007, the Court denied Plaintiff's motions for injunctive relief, noting that "the attachments to the Motion contain nothing to support Plaintiff's [re]quest for medical injunctive relief" and that Plaintiff "has not met his burden to show a likelihood of success on the merits." (D.I. 55).
- 5. On April 23, 2007, the Court entered an order granting in part and denying in part Defendant's motion to dismiss Plaintiff's Amended Complaint. (D.I. 74). In its memorandum opinion, the Court recognized that the "gist of [Plaintiff's] allegations [in his Amended

2

² Although Plaintiff's expressions of severe pain were not ultimately tied to his liver, the complaints were, nonetheless, legitimate. On September 17, 2007, Plaintiff was forced to undergo an emergency laparoscopic cholecystectomy to remove his gallbladder. (Operative Report attached as Exhibit A). Plaintiff will demonstrate CMS's Eighth Amendment violations regarding these facts at trial.

Complaint are] that [he is] not receiving prompt and proper medical care." (*Id.* at p.1). In denying CMS's motion to dismiss, the Court found that Plaintiff adequately alleged a policy or custom of ignoring medical complaints and delaying of treatment. (*Id.* at p. 10).

6. On January 4, 2008, the Court entered an Order recognizing the undersigned's representation of Plaintiff in this litigation.

Facts

- 7. Plaintiff does not engage in a routine of weight training or lift heavy weights of any kind. Moreover, Plaintiff does not have a job within the prison system, nor is he required to undertake any heavy lifting in my regular day-to-day routine. These facts are true today and at every point since January 2008. (D.I. 98). (Declaration of David DeJesus, Sr., attached as Exhibit B, ¶ 2).
- 8. Since mid-January of 2008, Plaintiff has suffered from and continues to suffer from a grape-sized growth in direct proximity to his left testicle (the "condition") accompanied by pain and swelling. More recently, Plaintiff has developed new symptoms of numbness and tingling sensations in his left leg. (DeJesus Dec., ¶ 3).
- 9. On January 15 or 17, 2008, Plaintiff was evaluated by CMS employees and raised concerns about his condition with his caregivers. CMS failed or refused to provide any diagnostic review, request any medical history, recommend any non-medical care or treatment or take any action whatsoever in response to Plaintiff's request or in an effort to provide care or treatment for his condition. (Id., at \P 4).
- 10. Since that time, Plaintiff has made repeated sick call requests that CMS again evaluate his condition, all of which were not granted. (Id., at ¶ 5).
- 11. On March 29, 2008, Plaintiff submitted a grievance regarding CMS's failure to review, diagnose or treat his condition. (*Id.*, at ¶ 6); (Grievance attached hereto as Exhibit C).

- 12. On Friday, April 18, 2008, an appointment was scheduled for Plaintiff to meet with CMS for the first time since his appointment in mid-January 2008. Plaintiff is informed and believes that the purpose of this April 18, 2008 appointment was not to address his condition but was, instead, an annual physical evaluation. (DeJesus Dec., ¶ 7).
- 13. During this April 18 appointment, Plaintiff expressly informed the attending physician, Dr. McDonald, of his condition. Dr. McDonald failed to conduct a physical examination of his condition and did not inquire as to any recent history concerning his condition, suggesting instead that Plaintiff use an athletic supporter because he may be suffering from a muscle pull. (*Id.*, at ¶ 8).
- 14. Plaintiff does not believe that his condition is the result of a muscle pull but, rather, that it is an abnormal growth that presents a serious and substantial threat to his health and well-being. (Id., at ¶ 9).
- 15. On April 25, 2008, Plaintiff's March 29, 2008 grievance was adjudicated as "unresolved." (Resolution attached as Exhibit D). Nonetheless, the grievance board stated in its denial that Plaintiff should be referred to chronic care. (*Id.*). However, in spite of the grievance board's recommendation, Plaintiff has not received any medical care or treatment for his condition. (DeJesus Dec., ¶ 10).
- 16. Plaintiff's counsel first brought this matter to CMS's attention through a letter demand directed to its counsel dated April 3, 2008. (April 3, 2008 letter attached hereto as Exhibit E). In the April 3 letter demand, Plaintiff requested that immediate attention be directed to this possibly life-threatening condition. Receiving no response whatsoever, Plaintiff's counsel addressed a second letter demand for treatment to CMS's counsel on April 11, 2008. (April 11 letter attached as Exhibit F).

- 17. By letter dated April 15, 2008, counsel for CMS acknowledged Plaintiff's April 3, 2008 and April 11, 2008 letters. (April 15 letter attached hereto as Exhibit G). CMS agreed to forward the requests to the appropriate individuals, but refused to commit to setting a date and time for an evaluation of Plaintiff's condition because it was "beyond the scope of the litigation currently pending." (*Id.*).
- 18. On April 22, 2008, during a teleconference between Plaintiff and his counsel, CMS's counsel was invited to participate in the teleconference to hear, first-hand, Plaintiff's description of his condition and the pain he was forced to endure. Plaintiff's counsel requested a response to Plaintiff's demand for treatment by the end of that week April 25, 2008.
- 19. On April 25, 2008, CMS responded to Plaintiff's two letter demands and the joint teleconference by denying Plaintiff's request because CMS did not want to "grant favoritism to a prisoner involved in litigation." (April 25 letter attached as Exhibit H). Instead, CMS directed Plaintiff to follow the "[p]rocedures [] put into place for prisoners in the State of Delaware to obtain treatment." (*Id.*). CMS further commented that if Plaintiff "does not believe he is obtaining proper treatment or believes he needs immediate treatment, he can follow the internal procedures to obtain this." (*Id.*).
- 20. As described herein (see supra, ¶¶ 10-11, 15), Plaintiff placed sick calls and filed the necessary grievance. CMS has failed to grant a single sick call request for Plaintiff's condition. Moreover, the "unresolved" resolution of Plaintiff's grievance is both in fact and in principle a denial of his right and necessity for immediate medical care and treatment for his condition.
- 21. Given the facts alleged in this litigation and CMS's continued failure or refusal to respond to Plaintiff's sick calls, Plaintiff does not believe that the further placement of sick calls

or grievances will provide the level of treatment or care presently required by his condition. (DeJesus Dec., ¶ 11).

22. Plaintiff requests that CMS or an independent medical provider provide an immediate review, diagnosis and treatment for his condition. (*Id.* at \P 12).

Argument

Plaintiff's request for emergency injunctive relief should be granted given the high likelihood of success on the merits of his claim and the serious medical condition from which he presently suffers. When presented with a motion for interim injunctive relief, the Court must determine: (i) the likelihood of success on the merits; (ii) the extent to which the plaintiff is being irreparably harmed by the conduct complained of; (iii) the balancing of the hardships to the respective parties; and (iv) the public interest. *Kos Pharmaceuticals, Inc. v. Andrx Corp.*, 369 F.3d 700, 708 (3d Cir. 2004) (citations omitted). In order to obtain the issuance of a mandatory injunction, Plaintiff must show the likelihood of substantial and immediate, irreparable injury and the inadequacy of remedies at law. *McCarthy v. Tribbitt*, 421 F. Supp. 1193 (D. Del. 1976) (citing *O'Shea v. Littleton*, 414 U.S. 488 (1974)). As described herein, Plaintiff satisfied each of the four requirements for injunctive relief.

A. Plaintiff Demonstrates a Likelihood of Success on the Merits of His Claim

24. If Plaintiff's condition were allowed to persist untreated, it is unfortunately feared that he would succeed on a claim for damages resulting from CMS's failure to provide adequate or proper medical care for his condition. The Eighth Amendment prescription against cruel and unusual punishment requires that prison officials provide inmates with adequate medical care. Estelle v. Gamble, 429 U.S. 97, 103-05 (1976). In order for a plaintiff to set forth an Eighth Amendment claim, the plaintiff must allege: (i) a serious medical need; and (ii) acts or omissions

by prison officials that indicate deliberate indifference to the serious medical need. *Estelle*, 429 U.S. at 104; *Rouse v. Plantier*, 182 F.3d 192, 197 (3d Cir. 1999).

- 25. Given the declaration testimony submitted in support of his motion, it is clear that Plaintiff suffers from a serious medical condition. In addition, CMS's nonfeasance and its counsel's express notification that it will not attempt to intercede in the purported "procedure" for obtaining medical care is misplaced because the "procedure" failed Plaintiff for the past four (4) months.
- 26. Based on these acts and failure to act, it is clear that CMS has a policy or custom whereby it refuses to provide care for Plaintiff and is deliberately indifferent to his medical condition. At Plaintiff's only other appointment with a physician since his condition arose (his April 18 annual physical), Dr. McDonald failed or refused to evaluate Plaintiff's condition and instead summarily determined that Plaintiff was suffering from a muscle pull prescribing an athletic supporter. (DeJesus Dec. at ¶ 8). As disclosed in Plaintiff's declaration, he has not engaged in any activities or routine which he believes could put him at risk for a muscle pull in his groin area. (*Id.*, at ¶ 2).
- 27. Based on the facts asserted in Plaintiff's declaration and CMS's continued refusal to respond to the undersigned's specific requests for medical attention for Plaintiff's serious medical condition, Plaintiff establishes a likelihood of success on the merits of Eighth Amendment claim.

B. Plaintiff is Suffering From Substantial and Immediate, Irreparable Harm

28. As described in Plaintiff's declaration, he suffers from a grape-sized growth next to and connected with his left testicle. (DeJesus Dec. at ¶ 3). Recently, he has experienced numbness and a tingling sensation in his left leg. (*Id.*). Given the unmistakable disorder presented by Plaintiff's condition, it should be obvious to a reasonable medical provider that

Plaintiff requires immediate medical care and attention.³ Based on the facts set forth in Plaintiff's declaration, he respectfully submits that he presents a substantial and immediate threat of irreparable harm to his health and well-being.

C. The Balance of Hardships Weighs in Favor of Granting Plaintiff's Relief

- 29. The balance of hardships favors the relief Plaintiff requests because there is no legitimate hardship to CMS against which to weigh the immediate and substantial, irreparable injury suffered by Plaintiff. Here, Plaintiff faces the threat of, at a minimum, substantial medication or surgery. Without knowing the cause or origin of Plaintiff's condition, loss of one testicle or even death cannot be ruled out at this point.
- 30. On the other hand, CMS is paid millions of dollars each year by the State of Delaware to provide medical care and treatment to the State's incarcerated citizens. At the very least, CMS can evaluate Plaintiff's condition in an effort to make a proper diagnosis and establish a treatment plan. To the extent that CMS is unable or unwilling to undertake such an endeavor, the Court can require that CMS make arrangements for an independent medical provider to discharge CMS's responsibilities.
- 31. Given that CMS cannot present a cognizable hardship against which to weigh the threat of substantial and immediate, irreparable injury to Plaintiff, Plaintiff meets the balancing of hardships requirement for the imposition of a mandatory injunction.

D. The Public Interest Weighs in Favor of Providing Adequate and Proper Medical Care to Its Incarcerated Citizens

32. The public has an interest in not subjecting its incarcerated citizens to cruel and unusual punishment, including a prohibition against inadequate medical care. In fact, pursuant to the Memorandum of Agreement between the United States Department of Justice and the State

8

³ Should CMS challenge this application on the basis that Plaintiff lacks a medical opinion, Plaintiff reserves his right to present a medical opinion in his reply.

of Delaware regarding the medical care and treatment provided at several Delaware prisons, it is clear that the public has an interest in the provision of proper and adequate medical care and attention to its incarcerated citizens. For these reasons, Plaintiff respectfully submits that the public interest weighs in favor of granting the requested relief.

WHEREFORE, for the foregoing reasons, Plaintiff David DeJesus, Sr. respectfully requests that his emergency motion for injunctive relief be granted and that an order be entered requiring that Defendant Correctional Medical Services, Inc. provide medical care, diagnostic services, treatment and all other reasonably necessary or appropriate services to Plaintiff within three (3) calendar days of this Court's order.⁴

Dated: May 5, 2008

Joseph J. Bellew (#4816)

David A. Felice (#4090)

1201 N. Market Street, Suite 1400

Wilmington, DE 19801 Telephone: (302) 295-2000 Facsimile: (302) 295-2013

Attorneys for Plaintiff David DeJesus, Sr.

⁴ Should mandatory injunctive relief be entered, Plaintiff reserves his right to later present a claim for counsel fees and costs.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

DAVID DEJESUS, SR.,)
Plaintiff,))
v.) C.A. No. 06-209-JJF
CORRECTIONAL MEDICAL SERVICES, INC., WILLIAM JOYCE and DANA BAKER, Defendants.) TRIAL BY JURY DEMANDED))))
<u>o</u>	PRDER
WHEREAS, Plaintiff David DeJesus,	Sr. presented an emergency motion for injunctive
relief;	
WHEREAS, the Court determines the	nat Plaintiff is presently suffering from a serious
medical condition;	
WHEREAS, the Court determines that	at Plaintiff presented a likelihood of success on the
merits, a substantial and immediate threat o	f irreparable injury, that the balance of hardships
weighs in favor of granting Plaintiff relief a	nd the public interest weighs in favor of granting
such relief;	
IT IS HEREBY ORDERED this	day of, 2008, that Plaintiff's
emergency motion for injunctive relief is GR	ANTED and that Defendant Correctional Medical
Services, Inc. is required provide medical	care, diagnostic services, treatment and all other
reasonably necessary or appropriate services	to Plaintiff within three (3) calendar days of this
Court's order	
	The Honorable Joseph J. Farnan, Jr.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, David A. Felice, do hereby certify that on May 5, 2008, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of Court using CM/ECF which will send notification of such filing to the following counsel of record:

Eric Scott Thompson, Esquire Marshall Dennehey Warner Coleman & Goggin 1220 North Market Street, 5th Floor P.O. Box 8888 Wilmington, DE 19899

David A. Felice (#4090)

Cozen O'Connor

1201 North Market Street, Suite 1400

Wilmington, DE 19801

Telephone: (302) 295-2000 Facsimile: (302) 295-2013 Email: dfelice@cozen.com

Exhibit A

BEEBE MEDICAL CENTER 424 Savannah Road Lewes, Delaware 19958 (302) 645-3300

OPERATIVE REPORT

PATIENT NAME:

DEJESUS, DAVID

ROOM: 311A

PATIENT NUMBER:

redacted

DATE OF ADMISSION:

09/17/2007 DATE OF DISCHARGE:

DATE OF SURGERY:

09/17/2007

ATTENDING PHYSICIAN: Clara Higgins, DO.

PREOPERATIVE DIAGNOSIS: Acute cholecystitis.

POSTOPERATIVE DIAGNOSIS: Acute cholecystitis.

PROCEDURE:

Laparoscopic cholecystectomy.

ATTENDING SURGEON: Clara Higgins, DO

ASSISTANT: Wanda Custice, RNFA

ANESTHESIA: General endotracheal anesthesia by Dr. Stephen

Fanto.

ESTIMATED BLOOD LOSS: 25 mL.

DRAINS:

One large Jackson-Pratt to the gallbladder fossa.

CONDITION: Fair.

FINDINGS: Edematous and indurated gallbladder with significant

adhesions, gallbladder wall thickening, there was no bile

spillage noted. There is no stone identified.

SPECIMENS REMOVED:

Gallbladder sent to pathology.

COMPLICATIONS: None.

OPERATIVE INDICATIONS: This 38-year-old Latino gentleman was in his usual state of health, however, had noted a episode of biliary colic about a month ago. He again ate some chicken yesterday and after that, had severe right upper quadrant epigastric pain with radiation through to his back. He had vomited a few times. Continues to be nauseated and belching.

OPERATIVE REPORT

OPERATIVE REPORT DEJESUS, DAVID redacted

BEEBE MEDICAL CENTER 424 Savannah Road Lewes, Delaware 19958 (302) 645-3300

He felt feverish. He was brought to the emergency department and was examined with a CAT scan that confirmed an edematous gallbladder with increased pericystic fluid. There is also thickened gallbladder wall. However, there is no obvious stone noted. His LFTs were minimally elevated. His white count was also elevated to 12,000. Given these findings and the physical exam consistent with acalculous acute cholecystitis, the risks as well as benefits of the proposed procedure, including the possibility for damage to adjacent organ structures, as well as bleeding and infection, as well as the conversion to open were explained to the patient and after all questions were answered to his satisfaction, he agreed to proceed.

OPERATIVE TECHNIQUE: The patient was identified and taken to the operating suite and placed in supine position where anesthesia provided general endotracheal intubation and monitoring. IV antibiotics were on board well as compression stockings. The abdomen was then shaved and prepped and draped in the usual fashion. A 5 mm infraumbilical incision was created and taken to the fascia, then using a Veress needle, a pneumoperitoneum was created without incident. Using a VisiView 5 mm port, the abdominal cavity was accessed under direct visualization. Then the patient was repositioned and an 11 mm port was placed in the subxiphoid region under direct visualization as well as 2 strategically placed right upper quadrant 5 mm ports. Exam of the abdominal cavity ensued. There was indeed an edematous and distended gallbladder with increased fibrinous adhesions and some of these were new as well as old. The liver was smooth and glistening. The transverse colon was somewhat distended. The stomach was normal. was some scar tissue at both of his old inguinal hernia repair sites.

Attention was then directed toward the gallbladder and the adhesions were carefully taken down back to the neck of the gallbladder. The anatomy was such that it was somewhat elongated and [; however, the cystic duct was clearly This was plastered next to the cystic artery. was skeletonized, then 3 clips were placed distal and 1 proximal, and transected, and both the artery and duct were transected using same clips. There was a posterior branch of the cystic artery and this was also ligated with 2 clips, 1 distal and 1 proximal and transected. Care was taken to stay OPERATIVE REPORT DEJESUS, DAVID redacted

BEEBE MEDICAL CENTER 424 Savannah Road Lewes, Delaware 19958 (302) 645-3300

above the junction of the common bile duct. The gallbladder was then removed from its fossa using electrocautery, countertraction and then from the abdominal cavity using an Endo-Catch bag. Inspection of the gallbladder confirmed it to be indurated; however, there were no obvious stones noted. gallbladder fossa was examined and confirmed to have hemostasis. It was irrigated. Then a 10 mm drain was placed in the fossa, then aspirated clear and all ports were then removed under direct visualization. The largest port was closed with a figure-of-eight suture of 0 Vicryl and the Marcaine 0.25 percent with epinephrine was injected for postoperative comfort to total 20 mL. Then the skin was closed with Monocryl followed by Steri-Strips and sterile dressing. The patient tolerated the procedure well. He is extubated without difficulty and upon awakening, was transferred to post anesthesia care unit in fair condition.

CLARA HIGGINS, 100

TR: CH /DC

DD: 09/17/2007 18:46:40 DT: 09/17/2007 21:40:13 JOB: 10222499 /1727626 CC: LAWRENCE MCDONALD, MD

Exhibit B

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

DAVID DEJESUS, SR.,)
Plaintiff,)
, v.) C.A. No. 06-209-JJF
CORRECTIONAL MEDICAL SERVICES, INC., WILLIAM JOYCE and DANA BAKER,) TRIAL BY JURY DEMANDED)
Defendants.)

DECLARATION OF DAVID DEJESUS, SR.

- I, David DeJesus, Sr., hereby state in accordance with the provisions of Title 28, section 1746 of the United States Code as follows:
- I am the Plaintiff in the above-captioned matter. I am submitting this Declaration in support of a Motion for Emergency Injunctive Relief given Defendant Correctional Medical Services, Inc.'s ("CMS") failure to provide adequate or reasonable medical attention, care and treatment to my serious medical condition.
- I do not engage in a routine of weight training or lift heavy weights of any kind. 2. Moreover, I do not have a job within the prison system, nor am I required to undertake any heavy lifting in my regular day-to-day routine. These facts are true today and at every point since January 2008.
- 3. Presently, I suffer from a grape-sized growth next to, and I believe connected with, my left testicle (my "condition") and I now have numbress and tingling in my left leg. The numbness and tingling sensations first surfaced early this week.
- 4. I first raised this condition with the CMS medical staff in January of 2008. On January 15 or 17, 2008, I was evaluated by CMS employees and raised this matter with my caregivers. CMS failed or refused to provide any diagnostic review, request any medical history,

recommend any non-medical care or treatment or take any action whatsoever in response to my request or in an effort to provide care or treatment for my condition.

- 5. Since that time, I have requested that CMS again evaluate my condition. My sick call requests were not granted.
- 6. On March 29, 2008, I submitted a grievance regarding CMS's failure to review, diagnose or treat my condition.
- 7. On Friday, April 18, 2008, an appointment was scheduled for me to meet with CMS for the first time since my January 15 or 17, 2008 appointment. I was informed and believe that the purpose of this April 18, 2008 appointment was not to address my condition but was, instead, an annual physical evaluation.
- 8. During this April 18 appointment, I expressly informed Dr. McDonald of my condition. Dr. McDonald failed to conduct a physical examination of my condition and did not inquire as to any recent history concerning my condition. Instead, Dr. McDonald summarily suggested that I use an athletic supporter because I may be suffering from a muscle pull.
- 9. I do not believe that my condition is the result of a muscle pull. Instead, I fear that it is an abnormal growth that presents a serious and substantial threat to my health and wellbeing.
- 10. On April 25, 2008, my March 29, 2008 grievance was denied. Nonetheless, the grievance board stated in its denial that I should be referred to chronic care. In spite of this recommendation, I have not received any medical care or treatment for my condition.
- 11. Given the facts alleged in my litigation and CMS's continued failure or refusal to respond to my sick calls, I do not believe that the further placement of sick calls or grievances will provide the level of treatment or care presently required by my condition.
- 12. I request that CMS or an independent medical provider provide an immediate review, diagnosis and treatment for my condition.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Executed this 2 day of May, 2008.

David DeJesus, Sr

Exhibit C

FORM #585

MEDICAL GRIEVANCE

FACILITY: S-C-I	DATE SUBMITTED: 3-29-08
INMATE'S NAME: David De Jesus S/	SBI#: 209,513
HOUSING UNIT: MSB-G-1	CASE #:
SECTION #1	
DATE & TIME OF MEDICAL INCIDENT: Organiz	
TYPE OF MEDICAL PROBLEM:	
I want to let C-M-S know that they are liein	s about me seeing the Dr once
a month and to the court, I am having proble	n & in pain and no dr lest time
was when I got sick on 1-4-09 and want to	BB and was seen by the Dr
1-15-08 is that right and they took Blood	out on 3-12-08 because they
could not find the other Blood work from	m H-R-Y-C-I that was?
for Aids and was told it was good, C.M.	-s is playing with me mentall
My Brother Die of Aids to be put thous	r this and Dr McDould never
order tested for a lump on my testis	cle all he said to Mr I
don't know if its a hernia or cance	· C
GRIEVANT'S SIGNATURE: David Defecue Se	DATE: 3-29-08
ACTION REQUESTED BY GRIEVANT: Stop licing to the coun	·
get me help and stop living in no I am not bein	
said the head of c-m-s here, It must be my	
Physically	urt, Yell are heating mementally &
DATE RECEIVED BY MEDICAL UNIT:	

NOTE: EMERGENCY MEDICAL CONDITIONS WILL TAKE PRIORITY. OTHERWISE, MEDICAL GRIEVANCES WILL BE ADDRESSED AT THE WEEKLY MEDICAL COMMITTEE MEETING.

MEDICAL GRIEVANCE FORM #585

Page two

SECTION #2

IF GRIEVANT DOES NOT A MUST RESPOND, IN WRITT	TING, WITHIN TWO	DAYS OF THE RECIEPT OF	FTHE DECISION, SPACE	CE FOR	
AN APPEAL HAS BEEN PRO	OVIDED ON THIS FO	DRM IN SECTION #3.			
RESPONSE BY M.G.C.:					
. ———					
				<u> </u>	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			····	·
					7
DOES GRIEVANT ACCEPT M	.G.C. DECISION?	(YES)(NO)			
DOES GRIEVANT ACCEPT M	.G.C. DECISION?	(YES)(NO)			
DOES GRIEVANT ACCEPT M	.G.C. DECISION?	(YES)(NO)			
DOES GRIEVANT ACCEPT M	.G.C. DECISION?	(YES)(NO)			
DOES GRIEVANT ACCEPT M	.G.C. DECISION?	(YES)(NO)			
DOES GRIEVANT ACCEPT M	.G.C. DECISION?	(YES)(NO)			
DATE RECIEVED BY GRIEVED DOES GRIEVANT ACCEPT ME	.G.C. DECISION?	(YES)(NO)	EXPLAIN WHY:		
DOES GRIEVANT ACCEPT M	.G.C. DECISION?	(YES)(NO)			

ORIGINAL: INSTITUTION FILE

COPY: GRIEVANT

Exhibit D

Case 1:06-cv-00209-JJF COLORMAN RESOLUTION 08 Page 2 of 3

- DEJESUS DAVICE	if 2095/3	(768 # 150	188 Z
Chronic dinic	A VEGILITED 17		CIEL
- Chronic dinic ; thise months.	1 NOTE HE	+ Will 13	- waif
- RECENTAL SEES	this month,	j 3 m	onth s
previously.	BELOVE 1/2t	There is a	CCC.
paper work for	en May 21	27	
list for Evalue	net you brek	800 M	$m \wedge$
Jist Jex Evalua	ton of work	18 1110 6	
		7623.76	
	,		
CSOLVED UNRE	SOLVED 4-24-08		
LIEVANT'S SIGNATURE	The Kaid Defe	esses Sa	
TE 4/34/08			
	α		

C: INSTITUTION FILE-GRIEVANT

FORM #585

) In vana Sand de Jesus

MEDICAL GRIEVANCE

Case # 154883

SBT 209513 MEDICAL Grievance Committee (MGC) Recommendation

Clinic un 60 days for re-evaluation of medical Concern (testile lump). However, I will refer to Dr Mc Donald and Letermine of he wisher to Order R-FC sorner.
DATE RECIEVED BY GRIEVANT: 4-25-08 GRIEVANT SIGNATURE: Cowal Deposer Serievant Accept M.G.C. DECISION? (YES) (NO)
MGC Appeal Statement
LEASE USE THE SPACE PROVIDED BELOW TO EXPLAIN THE SPECIFICS OF YOUR APPEAL. UPON COMPLETION, ORWARD THIS FORM TO THE IGC.
This is to show that I need entergency help and no help and I been dear from all my appeal they the Old worden of S-C-I who does and now is the Bureau Chief who Does all medical Goievance again why try I will wait into we go to count to C-M-S will use what I said to fix it, so why give them thing they should of fix and be deary
EVANT'S SIGNATURE: David Dejesus le DATE: 4-27-08

INSTITUTION FILE GRIEVANT

Exhibit E



A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

SUITE 1400 CHASE MANHATTAN CENTRE 1201 NORTH MARKET STREET WILMINGTON, DE 19801-1147 302.295.2000 888.207.2440 302.295.2013 FAX www.cozen.com

April 3, 2008

VIA FACSIMILE AND US MAIL

David A. Felice Direct Phone 302.295.2011 Direct Fax 866.776.8911 dfelice@cozen.com

Eric Scott Thompson, Esquire Marshall Dennehey Warner Coleman & Goggin 1220 North Market Street, 5th Floor P.O. Box 8888 Wilmington, DE 19899

Re:

David DeJesus, Sr. v. Williams, et al.

C.A. No. 06-209-JJF

Dear Eric:

I am writing to request that your client, Correctional Medical Services ("CMS"), provide immediate medical care and treatment to David DeJesus. More than two weeks ago, my client placed a sick call to CMS requesting an appointment for an evaluation of severe pain and inflammation in his testicles. To date, an appointment has not been scheduled, nor has my client been evaluated by any medical personnel. My client's complaints as to pain and inflammation have only increased since he first reported his condition to me. I request that you seek CMS's immediate attention to my client's serious medical condition.

I look forward to hearing from you as to when this appointment is scheduled and the results of the medical evaluation. Thank you for your consideration of this request.

Regards,

David A. Felice

DAF/ssl

cc:

David DeJesus

Joseph J. Bellew, Esquire

Exhibit F



A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

SUITE 1400 CHASE MANHATTAN CENTRE 1201 NORTH MARKET STREET WILMINGTON, DE 19801-1147 302.295.2000 888.207.2440 302.295.2013 FAX www.cozen.com

April 11, 2008

VIA FACSIMILE AND US MAIL

David A. Felice
Direct Phone 302.295.2011
Direct Fax 866.776.8911
dfelice@cozen.com

Eric Scott Thompson, Esquire Marshall Dennehey Warner Coleman & Goggin 1220 North Market Street, 5th Floor P.O. Box 8888 Wilmington, DE 19899

Re: David DeJesus, Sr. v. Williams, et al.

C.A. No. 06-209-JJF

Dear Eric:

I am writing to follow up on my letter to you dated April 3, 2008, wherein I requested that your client, Correctional Medical Services, Inc., provide immediate medical care in response to David DeJesus, Sr.'s requests for medical attention pertaining to swelling and pain in his testicles. (A copy of my April 3, 2008 letter is attached hereto). I have heard nothing from you in response to this letter, and I request that you immediately provide me with a status update regarding my request, including the following information:

- 1. The date and time David DeJesus, Sr. is/was scheduled for a medical evaluation regarding his ailment; and
- 2. If the medical evaluation has been conducted, the results of the evaluation.

Eric Scott Thompson, Esquire April 11, 2008 Page 2

Please respond to these requests at your earliest possible convenience. Thank you for your consideration of this request.

Regards,

David A. Felice

David A. Felice

DAF/ssl

cc: David DeJesus

Joseph J. Bellew, Esquire



A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

SUITE 1400 CHASE MANHATTAN CENTRE 1201 NORTH MARKET STREET WILMINGTON, DE 19801-1147 302.295.2000 888.207.2440 302.295.2013 FAX www.cozen.com

April 3, 2008

VIA FACSIMILE AND US MAIL

David A. Felice Direct Phone 302.295.2011 Direct Fax 866.776.8911 dfelice@cozen.com

Eric Scott Thompson, Esquire Marshall Dennehey Warner Coleman & Goggin 1220 North Market Street, 5th Floor P.O. Box 8888 Wilmington, DE 19899

Re:

David DeJesus, Sr. v. Williams, et al.

C.A. No. 06-209-JJF

Dear Eric:

I am writing to request that your client, Correctional Medical Services ("CMS"), provide immediate medical care and treatment to David DeJesus. More than two weeks ago, my client placed a sick call to CMS requesting an appointment for an evaluation of severe pain and inflammation in his testicles. To date, an appointment has not been scheduled, nor has my client been evaluated by any medical personnel. My client's complaints as to pain and inflammation have only increased since he first reported his condition to me. I request that you seek CMS's immediate attention to my client's serious medical condition.

I look forward to hearing from you as to when this appointment is scheduled and the results of the medical evaluation. Thank you for your consideration of this request.

Regards,

David A. Felice

DAF/ss1

cc:

David DeJesus

Joseph J. Bellew, Esquire

Exhibit G

Filed 05/05/2008 Page 2 of 2 A Regional Defense Litigation Law Firm

MARSHALL, DENNEHEY, WARNER, COLEMAN & GOGGIN

PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

www.marshalldennehev.com

1220 N. Market St., 5th Floor, P.O. Box 8888 · Wilmington, DE 19899-8888 (302) 552-4300 · Fax (302) 651-7905

Direct Dial: 552-4370

Email: esthompson@mdwcg.com

April 15, 2008

David A. Felice, Esq. Cozen O'Connor 1201 N. Market St., Ste. 1400 Wilmington, DE 19801

Re:

David DeJesus, Jr. v. CMS Our File No: 13252-00174 C.A. No: 06-209 JJF

Dear David:

I am in receipt of your April 11, 2008 correspondence requesting a response to your April 3, 2008 correspondence demanding immediate medical care for your client's swelling and pain in his testicles. The April 3, 2008 correspondence was received on April 4, 2008 and forwarded that day to my client. They are in possession of the correspondence and it has been forwarded to the appropriate people. With regard to your request for the date and time your client was scheduled for a medical evaluation and the results of any evaluation that was conducted, I am not in possession of this information. This most recent complaint by your client appears to be beyond the scope of the litigation currently pending in this matter. However, if you still require this information, please make a formal request and any discoverable documents relating to that request will be produced.

Very truly yours,

Eric Scott Thompson

EST:pll

cc: Courtney Doutel Barta, Cl. #VHS-05-55407 (via email)

Vicki Clines (via email)

15/607000.v1

PENNSYLVANIA Bethlehem Doylestown Harrisburg King of Prussia Philadelphia Pittsburgh Scranton

Williamsport Cherry Hill Roseland

DELAWARE Wilmington

Онто

FLORIDA Ft. Lauderdale Orlando Tampa



Exhibit H

Marshall, Dennehey, Warner, Coleman & Goggin

PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION WWW.marshalldennehey.com

1220 N. Market St., 5th Floor, P.O. Box 8888 · Wilmington, DE 19899-8888 (302) 552-4300 · Fax (302) 651-7905

Direct Dial: 552-4370

Email: esthompson@mdwcg.com

April 25, 2008

PENNETIVANIA
Belijishem
Doylestown
Eric
Harrishneg
King of Prussia
Philadelphia
Pitchurgh
Stranton
Williamsport

New Jahran Cherry Hill

DHLAWARH

Orgo Akron

FLORIDA Fc. Lauderdala Jucksonville Orlando Tampa



VIA FACSIMILE

David A. Felice, Esq. Cozen O'Connor 1201 N. Market St., Ste. 1400 Wilmington, DE 19801 Facsimile: (866) 776-8911

Re:

David DeJesus, Jr. v. CMS

Our File No: 13252-00174 C.A. No: 06-209 JJF

Dear David:

Pursuant to your voice message received today, enclosed is a copy of the January 17, 2008 medical note regarding treatment to your client.

Over the past week, you and I have been conversing regarding Plaintiff's complaints of a lump on or near his testicles. This is not an issue in the pending litigation. Procedures have been put into place for prisoners in the State of Delaware to obtain treatment. If they are not satisfied with the treatment they have received or not received, administrative remedies are available to them. It is not appropriate for this counsel to circumvent these procedures to grant favoritism to a prisoner involved in litigation us opposed to those who are not. If Plaintiff does not believe he is obtaining proper treatment or believes he needs immediate treatment, he can follow the internal procedures to obtain this.

I am not involved in the providing of medical treatment to prisoners and will not interject myself into the treatment which the doctor and medical staff are providing to prisoners, including l'laintiff, to determine whether Plaintiff needs additional treatment. Therefore, it is my recommendation your client pursue the internal prison procedures in order to obtain treatment. That being said, I have informed my client of Plaintiff's complaints, but will take no further action with regard to contacting my client to schedule Plaintiff to receive medical care.

Apr-28-2008 09@36@ 1706 cv-00209-JJF Document 116-11 Filed 05/05/2008-062Page 9704 4 F-987

David A. Felice, Esq. April 25, 2008 Page 2

Very truly yours,

Eric Scott Thompson

EST:pl1

Enclosure

cc: Courtney Doutel Barta, Cl. #VHS-05-55407

Vicki Clines

15/612245.v1

Chronic Disease Clinic Follow-U	D Jane Harris	Muly	
	Number: 200	Institu	TO PERSONAL PROPERTY OF THE PR
List change diseases:	· - (1 0/0/4),	7.3	O CP
1) (1) (3)	5)	
Attach phyrmad profile or list current medications	6)	
Mo My miles Zinlar		12	73)
par/	W		
Subjective:			
Asthma: # attacks in last month?	Scizure disorder: # seizures :		
# short acting beta agonist carristers in last month? # times awakening with asthma symptoms per week?	Diabetes mellitus: # of hypog Weight loss/gain 7	(ly cemuc reactions si #lbs	inge last visit?
CV/hypertension (Y/N): Chest pain? SOB?	Palpirations? A. A.	ni le coma?	- 0
For all diseases, since last visit, describe new symptoms:	pain (welling) LLG Diam	1eu? Rash	es/lesions?
	Crymps - de	rauly se	
Patient adherence (Y/N): with medication 7 wi	In dia? with exe	rciye?	-1-
Vital signs: Temp 90.7 BP 100 12 Pulse 80	Resp 2 Will	PEFR	INR
Labs: Hgb AIC HIV VI CD4 Range of fingerstick glucose/BP monitoring:	Total Chol LDL	ਜ਼ਹਾਂ	Trig
William Francisco Continuity	Brace China	A . 11 11	1/1)14.
PE: (USNUT WOR) PELL KUNDI)		numy pu	uncur_
Heart:	Extremities.	- earyn	Conila
Lungs:	Neurological: GU/rectal:	ens all	G Jana
Abdomen: DA L Hell A MA DMAILA MA	Other: Fluid	1111111111	4 Junes
Man 1	MAIN A THE	The year	
mu /	men pun pun	Dagree of Control	Clinical Status
Assessment:		G F F NA	1 5 W N
1 Reference			
2 Men	and the second s		
4			
4			
Plan:			
Mediention changes:			
Diagnostics:	7111		
Labs: WM A J / NOIL OIL	ppu	•	
Monitoring: BP: X day/week/month Glucose:	day/week/month Peak file	Other	r: <u>,</u> .
Education provided: Nutrition Dexercise Smoking 14	est results Medication ma	magement 🔲 Otho	TF
Referral (list type): Specialist:	Chronic care pro	gram:	
# days to next visit? 20 00 00 Other:	Discharged from Co	7C. []	
Advanced evel provided liggethre: All MS		Day: 1708	
NCCHC (11/06) This form is provided for the public domain and maybe	Ironly and and and	1/-//-00	
MOVEM DIR DIRECTOR OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROP	DEBLY CODING AND USAG		Page 1